



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

30678 7590 01/13/2006 EXAMINER CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP SUITE 800	CATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP SUITE 800	/814,629	04/01/2004	Hartley Frank Young	21854-00042-US	7362
SUITE 800	CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP SUITE 800				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425 3617				3617	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/814,629	YOUNG, HARTLEY FRANK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark T. Le	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 De	ecember 2005.				
•	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 9-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		·····			

.1

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to the amendments filed on December 6,
 Applicant's amendments and remarks have been carefully considered.

2. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 12, last line, "the deep post" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 11 and 13, lines 1-2, the expression "the face of the projections which abut said sides of the support shoulders includes a resilient tab" is confusing. It is suggested to be changed to -- [the] faces of the projections which abut said sides of the support shoulders include[s a] resilient tabs -- .

Claim 15 contains multiple occurrences of double recitations. Note for example, the pair of projections and the recess have already been recited in claim 12, and the resilient tab has already been recited in claim 13.

Proper corrections are required.

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Besenschek (US 6,045,052).

Bensenschek discloses a rail seat as recited in the instant claim, including tie 14, rail fastening support shoulders 28, rail pad 18, and insulator 24; wherein, the projections at the four corners of the rail pad include parts 32 that are thicker than the rest of the rail pad, and the middle portion of area 38 of the rail pad is a web which is a thin extension of the rail pad for positioning insulator 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

4. Claims 9-10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bensenschek (US 6,045,052) in view of Pilsesi (US 6,786,459).

Bensenschek is applied above.

Regarding the instant claimed projections extending from the sides of the support shoulders, as recited in instant claims 9 and 14, consider the support shoulders shown in Figures 2b and 4 of Pilsesi; wherein, each said support shoulder has ribs that are readable as projections extending from the sides of the support shoulder, and said support shoulder projections abut the associated rail pad projections to locate the rail pad in a position that inherently allows accommodation of the post of an insulator, as broadly claimed. In view of Pilsesi, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute support shoulders, similar to that shown in Figures 2b and 4 of Pilsesi, for the support shoulders of Bensenschek so as to achieve expected functions/advantages thereof.

5. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Besenschek (US 6,045,052) in view of Karbus (US 4,971,247).

Besencheck is applied above.

Regarding the instant claimed resilient tabs, consider nylon tabs 29 on the pad projections, as described in lines 55-60, column 3 of Karbus. As to the instant claimed resiliency, note that nylon, even hard nylon, inherently has at least a minimal degree of resiliency.

In view of Karbus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide tabs on the pad projections of Besencheck, in a manner similar to that taught by Karbus, so as enhance the retention of the pad relative to the support shoulders.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 9 above and further in view of Karbus (US 4,971,247).

Besencheck is applied above.

Regarding the instant claimed resilient tabs, consider nylon tabs 29 on the pad projections, as described in lines 55-60, column 3 of Karbus. As to the instant claimed resiliency, note that nylon, even hard nylon, inherently has at least a minimal degree of resiliency.

In view of Karbus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide tabs on the pad projections of Besencheck, in a manner similar to that taught by Karbus, so as enhance the retention of the pad relative to the support shoulders.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/814,629 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-6682. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (2:00-8:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617

mle 8/30/05